## Nominal classification in Assamese An analysis of function

Pori Saikia (University of Essex) Porisk33@gmail.com Marc Tang (INALCO/CRLAO) tangsaiid@gmail.com

The main purpose of this paper is to provide an analysis of the numeral classifier (sortal classifier) system in Assamese (Indo-Aryan) via the framework of functional typology (Contini-Morava and Kilarski, 2013), answering the call of the workshop in two approaches: First of all, it fills the gap of nominal classification studies in Asian languages, since numeral classifiers have been attested in Assamese from the first half of the fourteenth century but the existing literature only provides a general description and a limited amount of recent data (Kakati, 1941; Goswani, 1968; Barz and Diller, 1985). Second, being located at the border of Indo-European and Sino-Tibetan groups, which are typically associated with grammatical genders and numeral classifiers, Assamese represents an insightful example of exceptional case as an Indo-European language relying on numeral classifiers rather than grammatical genders to fulfill the functions of nominal classification.

Within this paper, we first provide a full description of the numeral classifier system in Assamese, which categorizes nouns according to the following features: human nouns apply different classifiers following their biological gender, e.g. sari- n manuh 'four-CL(male human) human' meaning four men, and sari- ni manuh 'four-CL(female human) human' meaning four women. Moreover, honorific classifiers are also used to differentiate between various social status, e.g. the classifier - na is used with respectable members of the proceedings of the processor of the p

Second, we apply functional typology and explain how the numeral classifier system in Assamese fulfills various lexical and discourse functions, such as differentiating referents, ascribing properties, anaphora, deixis, among others. As a sample for differentiating referents, different numeral classifiers on the same noun may poing to different referent, e.g. s 'tree' takes two different classifiers -dal to 'CL(long and round) and - upa 'Clothethy plant)'. s-dal and s- upa both mean 'the tree' but the first implies that the tree being referred to is a tall one without any branches, such as an areca-nut tree, whereas the second refers to a tree with numerous branches and lots of leaves. Another example for ascribing properties would be the fact that humans normally take humans classifier, as in sari- n manuh 'four-CL(male human) human'. However, the general classifier -tu normally restricted to non-human animates and inanimate nouns may be used on male humans if the referent is not of a certain social status, e.g. pogola sari-ta 'madman four-CL (general)' meaning the four madmen.

## **Selected references:**

- Aikhenvald, Alexandra Y. 2000. Classifiers. A typology of noun categorization devices. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Barz, Richard & Diller, Anthony. 1985. Classifiers and standardization: some South and South-East Asian comparisons. *Papers in Southeast Asian Linguistics* 9. 155-184.
- Contini-Morava, Ellen & Kilarski, Marcin. 2013. Functions of nominal classification. Language sciences 40. 263-299.
- Dixon, Robert M W. 1986. Noun class and noun classification. In Colette Craig (ed.), Noun classes and categorization, 105-112. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Goswami, G. C. 1968. Classification and Quantifiers in Assamese, In Bh. Krishnamurti (ed.) *Studies in Indian Linguistics*, M.B.Emeneau Sastipurti, Vol.1.
- Grinevald, Colette. 2000. A morphosyntactic typology of classifiers. In Senft, Gunter (ed.), Systems of

nominal classification, 50-92. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kakati, B.K. 1972. *Assamese: Its Formation and Development*. Gauhati: Lawyers Book Stall, 3rd edition.