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This paper explores a dataset of Burmish languages as a case study in improving the methodology of computational reconstruction. The use of computational methods in comparative linguistics is ever increasing in popularity. The increasing deployment of such methods draws into focus those areas in which they are still inadequate. In particular, effectively all previous research treats cognacy as a binary phenomenon, but this heuristic is not realistic and leads to over simplified analyses. For example, Daniel Klein, says to the BBC regarding the system of computational reconstruction described in Bouchard-Côté et al. (2013), which he is a co-author of, the “system still has shortcomings”, citing as an example that “it can't handle morphological changes or re-duplications - how a word like 'cat' becomes 'kitty-cat’” (Morelle 2013). In addition to morphological compounding, other areas that computational reconstruction models have so far not tackled include the modeling of tonogenesis and the origin of other supersegments and the stratification of borrowings and inherited forms.

For the purpose of this abstract a single example well illustrates some of the issues at play. The Burmese word for 'heart' is nha-lu and the Atsi word for 'heart' is nịk. The first syllable of the Burmese word is cognate to the Atsi word, but the second syllable has no equivalent in the Atsi cognate. Any binary model of cognacy will fail to capture this relationship. We have developed an approach to cognacy that operates at the morpheme rather than at the word level. The orthographic aspiration of the nasal nh- (realized as [n] in the Rangoon dialect of today) corresponds to the creaky phonation of Atsi. This correspondences poses both an obstacle of data representation and an analytic obstacle. Reconstruction algorithms typically (and understandably) operate on IPA characters rather than orthographic transcriptions, but the phonetic interpretation of ancient scripts is insecure and frequently contested. We must decide whether to represent nh- as [n], [n̥], or some other option. No matter how it is represented, currently available algorithms fail to pick up on such relationships between initial manner and vowel phonation type. It is only by testing reconstruction systems on different language families that computational system can be made more robust.

Our project relies on a database of 250 concepts (likely to the Concepticon, cf. List et al. 2016) as expressed in a dozen Burmish languages. The primary data comes from Huang et al. (1992.), as digitized by the STEDT project, but we supplement this with other relevant sources. We employ an iterative workflow combining the absolute rigor of a computer with the insightful intuitions of trained historical linguistics. After providing all of the data with unambiguous phonetic interpretations, including the explicit encoding of underdetermined segments, the computer provides a preliminary alignment and reconstruction. These reconstructions are then adjusted with an eye to the relevant literature on proto-Burmish (Nishi 1999, Dempsey 2003, Hill 2013). The adjustments are made inside of the workflow system so that the algorithm and general methodology will be enhanced and made more robust.
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